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II. School of Health Technology and Management Policies & Procedures
   A. Academic Standing
   B. Academic Dishonesty

III. Dean’s Memorandum on Uniform Regulations, Miscellaneous Rules and Points of Information

IV. Americans with Disability Act

V. Policies on Non Discrimination and Sexual Harassment –visit the following website:
   http://medicine.stonybrookmedicine.edu/ugme/mistreatment_policy

VI. Student Participation on School Committees
Mission Statement

School of Health Technology and Management

The mission of the School of Health Technology and Management is to provide the highest quality education in a multidisciplinary learning environment that fosters research, scholarly activity, critical thinking, evidence-based practice, leadership, and professionalism, while affirming the importance of ethical behavior, human diversity, service, and a team approach to health care. To achieve this, the School endeavors to:

- promote patient health and well being by teaching the knowledge, attitudes, and skills needed to ensure excellence in practice;
- expand knowledge through translational research, scholarship and creative activity;
- encourage innovative and responsible methods of managing and delivering high quality, cost-effective, accessible health care;
- respond to current and emerging public health challenges both locally and globally; and
- cultivate partnerships among faculty, staff, students, and community.
ACADEMIC STANDING

I. INTRODUCTION

A student's academic standing is subject to university standards and to the policies of the School of Health Technology and Management (SHTM). The Dean of the school shall be responsible for final decisions concerning a student's status. A student needs to be in good standing (p. 1) to maintain matriculation within the school’s programs. If the student fails to maintain good academic standing, the student may be subject to either departmental warning (p. 2), probation (p. 2), suspension (p. 3) or termination (p. 6). Students have the right of appeal to challenge any change in academic standing (p. 7). In cases of academic dishonesty, see SHTM Policy and Procedures on Academic Dishonesty.

II. POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

A. GOOD STANDING

A student who meets the following academic standards of the School of Health Technology and Management will be considered to be in good standing:

1. Undergraduate students must maintain a 2.0 minimum overall cumulative grade point average, a 2.5 minimum cumulative grade point average in required professional courses, and, if relevant, a minimum clinical grade point average as determined by the program/department.

2. Graduate students must maintain a 3.0 overall grade point average.

3. Students must successfully complete all program courses.

4. Students must demonstrate professional performance as evidenced by adherence to professional codes of ethics, sensitivity to patient and community needs, patient/consumer safety and appropriate professional behavior and demeanor. This includes the ability to work with and relate to peers and other members of the health care team, attitude, attendance, appearance and punctuality. For example, students should be able to respond appropriately to constructive criticism, recognize the impact of verbal/non-verbal communication, accurately self-assess and have the ability to adapt to change.
B. DEPARTMENTAL WARNING

Policy

A student who does not meet the standards set forth in Section II.A. shall be informed of this in a written departmental warning by the Program Director. This warning does not imply or necessarily preclude the imposition of other penalties.

Procedure

1. The faculty advisors of each program will periodically review each student’s academic record and identify students who fail to meet expected professional standards of conduct and/or who are experiencing academic difficulty. The faculty advisor will meet with the student to discuss failure to meet program expectations/requirements.

2. The Program Chair/Director may inform the student in writing of the failure to meet program requirements and possible consequences, and inform the student of resources available for remediation.

3. The Program Chair/Director will follow up on the student’s progress and be available for consultation.

4. A student may receive any number of these departmental warnings from the Program Chair/Director without a change in academic standing.

5. A student may be placed on probation or suspended without receiving prior departmental warnings, depending on the severity of non-compliance with II.A.

C. PROBATION

Policy

A student who does not meet the standards set forth in sections II.A. 1, 2, 3 or 4, e.g., a student who does not successfully complete all courses, maintain the minimum grade point average or does not demonstrate appropriate professional behavior, may be placed on probation. A student who has been placed on probation may not be permitted to participate in full-time clinical practice, except under extraordinary circumstances, and upon recommendation by the Program Chair/Director to the Dean.
Procedure

1. The Program Chair/Director will review information provided by the department faculty and if probation is indicated, make a timely written recommendation to the Dean.

2. The Program Chair/Director's recommendation to the Dean may include:
   a. the reasons for such action
   b. the period of time for probation
   c. the conditions for removal from probationary status
   d. documentation substantiating the action.

3. After receipt of the Program Chair/Director’s recommendation, the Dean shall inform the student of the decision and conditions for probation in writing via certified mail within ten (10) business days of the decision. Copies of the probation letter shall be provided to the Program Director, the Office of Student Services, and the Academic Standing Committee (ASC).

D. SUSPENSION

Policy

A student may be immediately removed from participation in school activities by a faculty member and recommended for suspension if the student's continued participation appears to be detrimental to the best interests of patients, peers, and/or the programmatic mission and principles of the School of Health Technology and Management. In such cases, the Program Chair/Director, after consultation with the faculty member, will issue a verbal directive to the student, followed by an immediate written recommendation for suspension to the Dean. Action by the Dean to determine the student's status should be taken within ten (10) business days of the verbal directive.
Procedure

1. A student may be recommended for suspension by the Program Chair/Director on the recommendation of the program faculty any time there is an error in professional judgment on the part of the student that is deemed by the faculty to be inconsistent with professional standards of care. (e.g., actions taken by the student that jeopardize patient safety).

2. Complete documentation should be kept of any incidents leading to the recommendation for suspension.

3. The Program Chair/Director's recommendation for suspension must include, but is not limited to: a. the reasons for suspension; b. the defined period for suspension, not to exceed one year; and c. the conditions for reinstatement.

4. The Dean will decide to accept, reject, or modify the Program Chair/Director's recommendation for suspension and inform the student of the decision in writing via certified mail within ten (10) business days of the verbal directive.

5. Copies of the action taken by the Dean will be forwarded to the Program Chair/Director, ASC Chair, Assistant Dean for Academic and Student Affairs and the Office of Student Services.

6. Grades for courses interrupted by suspension will be determined for each student on an individual basis. The options are withdrawal or incomplete.

7. A suspended student will not be permitted to participate in any school activities or in the required periods of clinical practice. Exceptions may be made at the discretion of the Program Chair/Director.
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E. REINSTATEMENT

Policy

A student who, by the end of the specified period, satisfies suspension, probationary, or leave of absence criteria imposed by the Dean may be reinstated at the end of that period.

Procedure

1. Reinstatement requires a memo from the Program Chair/Director to the Dean for recommendation of reinstatement.

2. The Dean will advise the student in writing within ten (10) business days of the change in status.

3. **Probation** - Reinstatement after a probationary period means that the student returns to good academic standing.

4. **Suspension or Leave** - Reinstatement after a suspension or leave period means that the student is allowed to register for courses, but may not necessarily be in good standing. The student’s academic standing would depend on the conditions for reinstatement, and individual circumstances.

5. The Program Chair/Director will review the student’s status to determine whether the conditions have been met:

   a. If the student has met the conditions by the end of the probation/suspension/leave period, the Program Chair/Director may recommend reinstatement to the Dean, who will then inform the student of the decision in writing within ten (10) business days of the date of this recommendation.

   b. If the student has not met the conditions for reinstatement by the end of the probation/suspension/leave period, the student may be subject to termination.

   c. Probation and suspension/leave may be extended by Program Chair/Director’s recommendation to the Dean, with appropriate documentation to warrant continuation of the probation/suspended status. The Dean shall notify the student of the extension, the extension period, and the conditions for reinstatement by letter within ten (10) business days of the Program Chair/Director’s recommendation.
F. TERMINATION

Policy

A student who fails to satisfy the conditions imposed by the Dean by the end of the probation or suspension/leave period may be subject to termination. A student who has been informed that he/she is subject to termination will not be permitted to participate in any school activities pending any appeal process except under extenuating circumstances as determined by the Program Chair/Director and the Dean.

Procedure

1. A student may be recommended for termination by the Program Chair/Director at the close of a probationary, suspension, or leave period if the student has failed to satisfy the conditions for reinstatement.

2. The Program Chair/Director will inform the Dean, in writing within ten (10) business days, that the student has failed to satisfy the conditions for reinstatement and that the student is recommended for termination. The reasons for this decision and the conditions for reinstatement that have not been met shall be provided to the Dean by the Program Chair/Director, along with supporting documentation.

3. The Dean will inform the student, in writing by certified mail, that the student is terminated, and that the termination will be stayed if the student chooses to exercise the right to appeal. If appeal is elected, the student must submit in writing the reason(s) for the appeal, within ten (10) business days following the student’s receipt of the letter from the Dean.

4. If the student does not appeal the decision, the student’s status is terminated automatically by the Dean at close of business on the tenth (10) business day following receipt of the Dean's certified letter.

For purposes of this policy, correspondence shall be deemed “received” 5 days after mailing by regular USPS mail service or, if sent by certified mail on the date of actual receipt or the date that the correspondence is returned to the sender as undeliverable.
G. APPEAL

Policy

If the student wishes to appeal a probation, suspension or termination, the student must direct a letter stating the reason(s) for the appeal to the Dean. The student’s written statement will explain the grounds of appeal and include any documentation supporting the reason for the appeal. The Dean may refer this to the ASC for a hearing and recommendation. A further appeal may be directed to the Senior Vice President, Health Sciences, or his/her designee.

Procedure

1. If the student chooses to appeal a change in academic standing, he/she must inform the Dean in writing of the intent, and reason(s) for the appeal, within ten (10) business days of receipt of the Dean's certified letter. Appeals will not be granted to those students seeking to challenge course grades, the validity of exams/exam questions or course requirements.

2. If the Dean refers the appeal to the ASC for a hearing, the student may be present, and bring witnesses and/or an advisor. If such is the intent, the student must inform the Chair of the ASC in writing no later than five (5) business days before the hearing, identifying the witnesses and advisor by name and title.

3. The Chair of the ASC will create a hearing board. Hearing boards are made up of individuals on the ASC. A hearing board shall consist of a minimum of five (5) voting members of the ASC and a hearing officer. Normally the chair of the ASC serves as the hearing officer, except under unusual circumstances (e.g., illness, conflict of interest). In such case, the Chair of the ASC will notify the alternate hearing officer. In all hearings, there will be at least one student. Neither the course instructor nor any faculty member of the department/program from which the student originated shall be impaneled on the hearing board. When a quorum is not available, hearing boards may be staffed by past members of the committee. In instances where it is impossible to reach a quorum with current or past committee members, ad hoc appointees will be determined by the Dean.

4. The ASC Chair will ask the Program Chair/Director to prepare a statement concerning the student's request for appeal and to produce to the hearing board all pertinent documentation and list witnesses, if any. The student has the right to request all documentation related to the case.
5. The SHTM Assistant Dean for Academic and Student Affairs or his or her designee, shall be available to explain policy and procedures to the student.

6. The Associate Dean for the SHTM or his/ her designee shall be available to explain policy and procedures to any faculty involved in a hearing.

7. The hearing officer will set a time for the hearing at the earliest possible date to allow all concerned parties adequate time for preparation. Unless there are unusual circumstances, the hearing will be scheduled no sooner than five (5) business days, and no later than ten (10) business days after receipt of the student's written notice of intent to appeal.

8. The hearing officer will open the hearing by presenting the Program Chair/Director's written recommendation. The role of the hearing officer shall be to: (1) oversee the hearing process, (2) ensure that the policies and procedures of the SHTM governing the process are followed and (3) ensure that the hearing moves in an orderly manner. As a member of the ASC, the hearing officer may ask questions of principals and witnesses. The hearing officer will participate in the proceedings but cast no vote, except in the case of a tie.

9. The Program Chair/Director and the student may call witnesses to the appeal hearing if the testimony would prove relevant to the final decision.

10. The advisor may counsel the student during the hearing but does not have the privilege of the floor.

11. After reviewing all of the evidence and interviewing any witnesses, the hearing board will meet in executive session to review all information presented during the hearing and come to a decision. All decisions of the board shall be by majority vote of the eligible members present; voting shall be by secret ballot. In case of a tie, the hearing officer will cast the deciding vote. The cast vote tally will not be divulged.

12. The hearing board’s written recommendation to the Dean of the SHTM will become part of the official record. Audio recordings made during board hearing also become part of the official record.
13. Complete records of the hearing shall be kept in the strictest confidence by the ASC Chair. Indeed, all case-specific activities, correspondence and communication related to the case shall be held in strict confidence by all members of the hearing board at all times both during and after the appeal. Confidential records* are made available, in case of appeal and upon request, to the designated University Official or board hearing the appeal and to the student(s) requesting the appeal. If the student wishes a transcript of the hearing, they are responsible for the cost of transcription. The school shall also get a copy of the transcript.

* Confidential records are defined as records pertaining to the student who is seeking the appeal. This does not include records of other students.

**APPEAL DECISION**

1. The Dean of the School of Health Technology and Management may or may not accept the recommendation of the hearing board and shall inform the student of the final decision in writing within ten (10) business days of the hearing date.

2. Appeal Denied:
   a. If an appeal from termination is denied, the student’s status shall be terminated. However the termination shall be stayed if the student wishes to pursue further appeal to the Senior Vice President, Health Sciences or his/her designee.
      i. The appeal to the Senior Vice President, Health Sciences should take place within ten (10) business days of receipt of the Dean’s decision, and this appeal must be based on new evidence and/or errors in procedure. The decision of the Senior Vice President, Health Sciences will be final.
   b. If an appeal from other than termination (e.g., probation, suspension) is denied, there shall be no further appeal and the student shall continue at the current status until the conditions of probation or suspension are met.

**H. LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

Policy

A student may request a leave of absence for medical or personal reasons, but not for longer than one year. A suspended student will not be granted a leave of absence. A student may not be granted more than one leave of absence for other than medical reasons.
Procedure

1. A student requesting a leave of absence, either medical or personal, must do so in writing to the Program Chair/Director.

2. The Program Chair/Director reviews the leave request and makes a recommendation either approving or denying the request to the Dean, supplying a copy of the student's letter.

3. The Program Chair/Director's recommendation shall include the following:
   a. Reason for leave.
   b. Length of time of the leave, not to exceed one year.
   c. The date by which the student must inform the Program Chair/Director and the Dean in writing of the intent to return (usually one to two months prior to the date of resuming studies).
   d. Academic standing of the student at the time of leaving and the time of resuming studies.
   e. Any conditions for reinstatement.

4. The Dean shall inform the student, in writing, within ten (10) business days, of the final decision. Copies of this letter are sent to the Office of Student Services and the Program Chair/Director.

5. Students requesting a leave for medical reasons must also submit a physician's note recommending leave. A physician's note indicating that the student is able to resume studies when the leave is over shall be a condition for reinstatement.

6. Leave may be extended by the student's petition to the Program Chair/Director, who will review the request and make a recommendation to the Dean, as in #3 above.

7. If the conditions for reinstatement are not met by the date indicated, the student may be subject to termination. (p. 6).

8. Should there be disagreement between the student and the Program Chair/Director concerning the arrangements for leave, the matter shall be referred to the ASC for review and recommendation.
I. WITHDRAWAL

1. Authorized Withdrawal

Withdrawal from the Health Sciences, for any reason, will be recorded only when the student requests to withdraw in writing and the Program Chair/Director supports the request. The Program Chair/Director shall forward the request to the Dean. The date upon which the student requests to withdraw, and not the date of the last documented class attendance, is considered the official day of withdrawal. Non-attendance or notification to the instructors does not constitute formal withdrawal. The student must submit the request in writing no later than two (2) modules or ten (10) weeks after the date of the last class attended.

2. Unauthorized Withdrawal

A student who leaves the school without obtaining an official withdrawal shall be considered to have an unauthorized withdrawal and may forfeit: 1) the privilege of honorable dismissal, 2) the prospect of readmission and will be reported on the official student transcript as having failed all courses which have not been completed.
ACADEMIC STANDING: GRADING

Every health care professional must demonstrate, in his or her professional activity, competent clinical performance in patient care and theoretic understanding, as demonstrated by scholastic achievement.

The School of Health Technology and Management has adopted a policy on grading which is based upon the criteria that are characteristic of a competent practitioner. The following considerations will be included in the determination of student grades, as appropriate to the specific course being taken:

1. Scores achieved on written tests, based upon material presented in the course and/or assigned outside readings or other learning experiences;

2. Scores on oral tests, based upon material presented in the course and/or upon outside readings or other learning experiences;

3. Assigned written reports or essays;

4. Instructor’s evaluation of assigned oral presentations;

5. Competent performance of laboratory procedures according to established protocols;

6. Skill demonstrated in the performance of laboratory procedures;

7. Competent performance of clinical procedures according to established protocols;

8. Demonstrated skill in the performance of clinical procedures;

9. Consistent, conscientious performance of assigned professional duties; students will recognize the need to continue service to their patients until responsible, authorized arrangements for continuity of the patient’s care has been arranged;

10. Demonstration of equal effort and skill in dealing with all patients contacted;

11. Appreciation of personal professional limitations as demonstrated by appropriate request for instructor assistance and the eschewing of professional tasks for which the student is not yet qualified. Students will carefully display and explain their status to patients and professional contacts;
12. Integrity and honesty in dealings with associates, instructors, supervisors, patients, and others;

13. Observance of the code of ethics for the relevant profession’s practice and the policies of the School of Health Technology and Management;

14. Consistent respect for the confidentiality and rights of instructors, colleagues and patients (especially as required by HIPAA regulations);

15. Fiscal integrity: Students will not accept unauthorized payment for gifts for the performance of professional services;

16. Accuracy in the maintenance of patient and professional records.

Because the requirements for each course vary, the specific weight given to each of the above criteria may also be expected to vary in relationship to course objectives. However, it should be clearly recognized that all relevant criteria from the above list will be included to some degree in the evaluation of student participation in each course offered by the school.

Each primary course instructor has the responsibility to review these considerations for the students in her/his course and to list those which will be used in student evaluation in the course syllabus to be distributed to the students at the beginning of the course.
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ACADEMIC DISHONESTY

I. INTRODUCTION

The University Senate Academic Judiciary Committee is responsible for the establishment of general guidelines for dealing with academic dishonesty. The Academic Judiciary Committee or the Committee on Academic Standing of the school in which the student is enrolled has the responsibility for handling individual cases of academic dishonesty. The School of Health Technology and Management has its own Academic Standing Committee (hereafter known as the ASC).

Academic dishonesty includes any act which is designed to obtain fraudulently, either for oneself or for someone else, academic credit, grades, or other recognition which is not properly earned. It is to behave, or to help another to behave, so as to improperly advance, protect, or diminish the academic status of individuals or the University.

II. EXAMPLES OF ACADEMIC DISHONESTY

Typical examples of academic dishonesty include but are not limited to:

A. Classroom Dishonesty

1. Cheating on course or proficiency examinations by the use of books, notes, or other aids when these are not permitted, or by copying from another student.

2. Submission of similar papers or projects in more than one course without permission of the instructor(s).

3. Collusion: Two or more students helping each other on an examination or assignment, unless specifically permitted by the instructor.

4. Use of substitutes: Sitting in for another student at an examination, or permitting someone else to sit in for oneself.

5. Plagiarism: The submission of another's work as one's own original work without proper acknowledgment of the source.

6. Falsifying documents or records related to credit, grades, change of status forms (e.g., adds and drops), and other academic matters.

7. Altering an examination or a paper after it has been graded for the purpose of fraudulently requesting a revision of the grade.
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8. Use of unauthorized materials for an exam or project (e.g., use of calculators on an exam where they have been prohibited, beepers, or other electronic devices).

9. Circulation and/or use of unauthorized “old exams”.

10. Unauthorized possession of an exam, even if inadvertent or un-premeditated.

11. Theft, concealment, destruction, or inappropriate modification of classroom or other instructional material (e.g. posted exams, library materials, laboratory supplies, computer programs and outputs).

12. Preventing relevant material from being subjected to academic evaluation.

B. Clinical Dishonesty

The principles of academic dishonesty shall also apply to those courses taken during the clinical phases of a program of instruction. In clinical programs academic dishonesty shall be defined further to include, but not be limited to:

1. Falsification of client or institutional records.

2. Concealing information or activities that affect the safety and well-being of clients.

3. Inappropriate violation of client confidentiality as specified by HIPAA regulations.

4. Engaging in activities that are contrary to professional codes of ethics or standards of practice as defined by the program, school, professional associations or state/federal laws or regulations.

5. Misrepresenting one's role as a student to an institution, client, or to the public at large so as to mislead them in their expectations of the student's competencies and/or limitations.

6. Failure to seek supervision for clinical activities or neglecting to obtain required clearance for such clinical activities.

7. Performance of procedures without supervision, for which the student has not been prepared.

8. Failure to follow the University guidelines regarding the use of human subjects or laboratory animals in research or experimentation.
III. POLICY

Intellectual honesty is a cornerstone of all academic and scholarly work. Stony Brook University, including the School of Health Technology and Management, views any form of academic dishonesty as a serious matter and responds appropriately when allegations of academic dishonesty are presented.

IV. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

A. The Chair of the ASC shall oversee the academic dishonesty incident process in order to ensure that appropriate policy and procedure is adhered to and to monitor equality of penalties across SHTM programs.

B. Under the principle of academic freedom, each faculty member reserves the authority, and with it the responsibility, to clearly define the bounds of acceptable conduct and to carry on his/her duties in a fashion conducive to academic honesty. The faculty member retains the right to take immediate and appropriate actions to prevent and/or deal with any act of unacceptable conduct on the part of a student. Students may bring forth charges of academic dishonesty as well. The faculty member and/or student shall formally refer the matter to the Program Chair/Director to resolve acts of academic dishonesty within the program from which the student originates.

C. Students who are accused of academic dishonesty during an exam have the right to and should be encouraged to finish the exam; in this way students who appeal the accusation will have a completed exam on which their final grade will be based, should the accusation not be sustained. When academic dishonesty is suspected during an exam it is at the discretion of the instructor whether the student should be informed of suspicions immediately or when the exam is over. When academic dishonesty is confirmed before an exam (e.g., unauthorized possession), the student should be prohibited from taking that exam; if the instructor suspects that other students may have been exposed to the exam, the instructor may void that exam, at her/his discretion, and re-test. The course instructor may recommend a penalty prior to a formal finding of academic dishonesty; the student may either admit to academic dishonesty and accept the penalty, or appeal the finding or the penalty to the ASC. (See VI. A.)

D. Students who are accused of academic dishonesty while on clinical rotation should be allowed to continue during the appeal process, unless the department or clinical institution believes that this would not be in the client's best interest.

E. Students are presumed innocent until found guilty. Students may be found guilty of academic dishonesty if it is determined that it is more likely than not that the student engaged in academic dishonesty. This may be obtained from direct evidence, circumstantial evidence, or a combination of the two. For example:
1. In cases of suspected plagiarism, a dramatic change in writing style may contribute toward a finding of guilty; identification of source material strengthens the accusation.

2. Possession of an accessible crib sheet may contribute toward a finding of guilty even if the student was not observed using the crib sheet.

3. Students may be found guilty of academic dishonesty if they are seen attempting to view other student’s work during an examination.

4. Students may be found guilty of academic dishonesty if they are observed to be communicating with one another even if there is no clear indication on the exam paper of where collusion may have taken place.

5. Students may be found guilty of academic dishonesty on the basis of similarity between exams, papers, or other work even though there were no witnesses to communication between the accused students.

V. PEnALITIES FOR ACADEMIC DISHONESTY

The course instructor, in concert with the Program Chair/Director or designee, may render a penalty for any act of academic dishonesty. If the accused student appeals the finding or penalty, the case is referred to the ASC for hearing. The ASC may either support the proposed penalty, or render its own penalty. The Dean and the Program Chair/Director may concur with an ASC recommendation to institute a modified penalty. The penalty for any substantiated act of academic dishonesty may be expulsion from the University or the SHTM.

A. In Course Work: Penalty may be dismissal from the University or SHTM and/or may be a reduced course grade. A grade received by a student found guilty of academic dishonesty may not be removed by withdrawal from the course or changed to pass/no credit. Students registered pass/no credit will receive a letter grade rather than a P or NC.

B. In Clinical Work: An allegation of academic dishonesty in clinical work reviewed and accepted by the committee in accordance with the academic standing policies may lead to the immediate suspension of the student. Students found guilty of such an allegation may be dismissed from the University or SHTM.

C. Other Situations: Cases of academic dishonesty not related to a course should be reported to the ASC.

D. Multiple Offenses: If a student is found to have committed two or more acts of academic dishonesty, the ASC in consultation with the Dean or his/her designee shall consider a
further penalty, in addition to those already established for the separate offenses. Further penalty must be implemented in the semester in which the multiple offenses were discovered or within two months of the discovery, whichever is longer. Generally the penalty for multiple offenses will be expulsion from the University and this action will be noted on the student's permanent educational record.

VI. PROCEDURE

A. Initiation of Complaint

All academic dishonesty complaints are submitted to the Program Chair/Director of the program from which the accused student originates. The Program Chair/Director shall review the complaint and may request a written statement from the accuser(s) regarding the incident. If the Program Chair/Director, after consultation with the Chair of the ASC, determines that the accused student had a prior finding of SHTM academic dishonesty then the matter will be referred to ASC, and the Program Chair/Director shall notify the accused student that the matter has been referred to ASC. If there has not been a prior finding of SHTM academic dishonesty, the Program Chair/Director will notify the accused of the complaint and advise that he/she may: (a) resolve the matter within the program (and not contest the complaint/accept responsibility for the misconduct); or (b) contest the complaint in which case the complaint will be referred to the ASC. The Program Chair/Director may also refer the case directly to the ASC for adjudication in lieu of resolution within the program.

The accused student has five (5) days from notification of the complaint, to decide how he/she wishes to proceed. During this five (5) day period, and at anytime during the process, the accused student has access to the Assistant Dean for Academic and Student Affairs, with whom the student may review and discuss the policies and procedures. Also during this period or at any time during the process, the accuser and/or Program Chair/Director may seek advisement concerning policies and procedures from the Associate Dean of the School of Health Technology & Management.

1. If the accused chooses to resolve the matter within the Program, the Program Chair/Director shall meet with the student to discuss the proposed penalty and assess a penalty. If the penalty assessed by the Program Chair/Director is uncontested by the accused student, the matter is considered resolved.

2. If the penalty rendered by the Program Chair/Director pursuant to # 1 above is contested, then the matter shall be referred directly to the ASC.

Upon completion of steps #1 or # 2 above, the Program Chair/Director, shall submit a signed Academic Dishonesty Incident Report (ADIR: see Appendix A) with supporting documentation, to the Chair of the ASC and a copy to the Assistant Dean for Academic and Student Affairs within five (5) business days of completion of Step # 1 or # 2, as appropriate.
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The Chair of the ASC shall maintain ADIRs. The ADIR can only be used in a finding of academic dishonesty, and then only in the penalty (closed session) phase of the hearing.

B. ASC Hearing Board Procedure

If the accused student denies the charges or does not accept the penalty the case is brought forward to the ASC hearing board for review according to following procedure:

1. The accused student is informed in writing by the ASC Chair of the hearing date.

2. The student may bring witnesses and/or an advisor to the hearing. The student must inform the Chair of the ASC in writing no later than five (5) business days before the hearing, of the identity of the witnesses and/or advisor. The student has the right to request all documentation related to the case.

3. The Chair of the ASC will create a hearing board. The hearing board is to be comprised of individuals from the ASC and SHTM students. A hearing board shall consist of a minimum of five (5) voting members and a hearing officer. Generally the Chair of the ASC serves as the hearing officer, except under unusual circumstances (e.g., illness, conflict of interest). In such case, the Chair of the ASC will notify the elected alternate hearing officer. In all hearings there will be at least one (1) student and four (4) faculty present as voting members plus the hearing officer. Neither the course instructor nor any faculty member of the program from which the charge originated shall be impaneled on the hearing board. When a quorum is not available, hearing boards may be staffed by past members of the committee. In instances where it is impossible to reach a quorum with current or past committee members, ad hoc appointees will be determined by the Dean.

4. The ASC Chair will ask the accuser to prepare a statement describing the student’s behavior that triggered the charge of academic dishonesty and to produce all pertinent documentation and list witnesses, if any.

5. The student will be asked to prepare a written statement on his/her behalf and submit all supporting documentation supporting the appeal. This shall be submitted to the hearing officer five (5) days prior to the scheduled hearing.

6. The hearing officer will set a time for the hearing at the earliest possible date to allow all concerned parties adequate time for preparation. Unless there are unusual circumstances, the hearing will be scheduled no sooner than five (5) business days, and no later than ten (10) business days after ACS’s notification from the Program Chair/Director.
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7. The board shall attempt to reach a decision on the basis of the evidence before it, regardless of the presence or absence of the persons concerned, their witnesses, or their advisors. In cases where reasonable notice of absence has been given (at least 24 hours), the hearing will be postponed to be rescheduled as soon as possible.

8. The hearing officer will open the hearing by presenting the accuser's written statement. The role of the hearing officer shall be to oversee the hearing process, ensure that the policies and procedures of the SHTM governing the process are followed, and ensure that the hearing moves in an orderly manner. As a member of the ASC, the hearing officer may ask questions of principles and witnesses. The hearing officer will participate in the proceedings but cast no vote except to break a tie vote.

9. When two or more students are accused of collusion in an academic dishonesty accusation, each shall have the opportunity to meet with the board independently of the other(s) and the others' advisers and witnesses.

10. A student’s advisor may counsel the student during the hearing but does not have privilege of the floor.

11. The accuser and the accused student may call witnesses to the appeal hearing.

12. After reviewing all of the evidence and interviewing any witnesses, the hearing board will meet and come to a decision. All decisions of the board shall be by majority of the voting members present; voting shall be by secret ballot. In case of a tie, the hearing officer will cast the deciding vote. The cast vote tally will not be divulged.

13. The hearing board’s written recommendation to the Dean of the SHTM will become part of the official record. Audio recordings made during the board hearing also become part of the official record.

14. The Dean of the School of Health Technology and Management may or may not accept the recommendation of the hearing board and shall inform the student of the final decision in writing within ten (10) business days of the hearing. The Dean shall notify the student, the instructor, and the Program Chair/Director of the decision. The Dean shall also notify the student that any further appeal must be directly made to the Senior Vice President, Health Sciences within ten (10) business days of receipt of the Dean’s decision, and this appeal must be based on new evidence and/or errors in procedure or conduct. Confidential records* are made available, in case of appeal and upon request, to the designated University Official or board hearing the appeal and to the student(s) requesting the appeal. If the student wishes a transcript of the hearing, they are responsible for the cost of transcription. The school shall also get a copy of the transcript.
**Academic Policies & Procedures**

*Confidential records are defined as the records pertaining to the student who is seeking the appeal. This does not include records of other students.*

C. **Appeal of Dean’s Decision**

1. Upon receipt of an appeal the Senior Vice President, Health Sciences may choose to meet with the accused student or may choose to decide the appeal based upon the statements/record made by the ASC. The Senior Vice President, Health Sciences shall issue a decision within ten (10) business days of his/her receipt of the appeal or the date of the Senior Vice President’s meeting with the student, whichever is later. The decision of the Senior Vice President shall be final.

D. **Confidentiality**

The Assistant Dean for Academic and Student Affairs shall maintain Academic Dishonesty Incident Reports (ADIRs) in a separate file from the student’s record. The ADIRs shall be accessible to only the Dean. The chair of the ASC shall also maintain ADIRs in a file accessible only to the chair. The ADIR cannot be used against the student in any subsequent allegation of academic dishonesty. The ADIR can only be used in a finding of academic dishonesty, and then only in the penalty (closed session) phase of the hearing.
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SHTM ACADEMIC DISHONESTY INCIDENT REPORT
ADIR

DATE OF ADIR:

PROGRAM:

ACCUSED:

CHARGES BROUGHT FORTH BY:

DATE OF ALLEGED EVENT:

DESCRIPTION OF ALLEGED EVENT:

DATE ACCUSED INFORMED OF CHARGES:

PROGRAM DIRECTOR/DESIGNEE SIGNATURE: ___________________________

DATE CHAIR OF ACADEMIC STANDING COMMITTEE NOTIFIED:
(As soon as possible after alleged event)

DATE OF RESOLUTION AT PROGRAM LEVEL:

DESCRIPTION OF RESOLUTION PROCESS INCLUDING PENALTY:

OUTCOME OF RESOLUTION PROCESS:

SUPPORTIVE DOCUMENTATION, INCLUDING SEPARATE STATEMENTS FROM ACCUSER AND ACCUSED REGARDING THE INCIDENT, ATTACHED:

Only to be completed by the chair of the Academic Standing Committee

CHAIR OF THE ACADEMIC STANDING COMMITTEE: I have reviewed the academic dishonesty incident process, and found it to be in compliance with current policies and procedures governing academic dishonesty.

DATE: _______________ SIGNATURE: ____________________________
NOTIFICATION OF ACCUSATION

TO THE ACCUSED: I have been notified of the accusation, and have been referred to the policies and procedures for academic dishonesty which is a part of my student handbook. I have been informed of my rights on matters pertaining to policy and procedure on academic dishonesty. I have been presented with the opportunity to admit to the allegation and accept the penalty recommended by the program. I have also been advised of my right to appeal this allegation and/or penalty to the SHTM Academic Standing Committee. I have been given a time period of five business days to consider either resolution within the program or adjudication by the SHTM Academic Standing Committee.

Signed: _________________________ Date: ________________

CHECK APPROPRIATE BOXES

ACCUSATION ACCEPTANCE OR DENIAL

☐ TO THE ACCUSED: I have been notified of the accusation, and agree that I have committed the act of academic dishonesty described above.

☐ TO THE ACCUSED: I have been notified of the accusation, and deny that I have committed the act of academic dishonesty, and request that the allegation be brought forward to the Academic Standing Committee:

Signed: _________________________ Date: ________________

PENALTY ACCEPTANCE OR DENIAL

☐ TO THE ACCUSED: I have been advised of the penalty, and I am in full agreement, and will comply with the penalty.

☐ TO THE ACCUSED: I have been advised of the penalty, and I do not accept the penalty, and therefore request that the matter be brought forward to the Academic Standing Committee.

Signed: _________________________ Date: ________________
ACCUSOR ACCEPTANCE OR DENIAL OF PENALTY

☐ TO THE FACULTY OR STUDENT ACCUSOR: I have been advised of the penalty and I am in full agreement with the penalty.

☐ TO THE FACULTY OR STUDENT ACCUSOR: I have been advised of the penalty, and I am not in agreement with the penalty and request that the matter be brought forward to the Academic Standing Committee.

Signed: _______________________ Date: ____________
APPENDIX B

ACADEMIC DISHONESTY PROCEDURE GUIDELINES FLOW CHART
SHTM - Academic Dishonesty Procedure Guidelines

- Allegation presented to Chair/PD
  - Case referred directly to ASC for hearing
  - Hearing board makes recommendation to Dean
  - Dean decides whether to uphold or deny student’s appeal and informs student.
  - Further appeal to SVPHS

- Chair/PD requests written statement from accuser
  - PD consults with ASC chair for finding of prior dishonesty
  - PD notifies accused of complaint and charge and penalty reviewed
  - Student has 5 days to decide if charge and/or penalty are contested or uncontested. Student may meet with Asst. Dean for Academic and Student Affairs to discuss policies and procedures.

- Allegation dismissed for insufficient evidence.
  - If prior finding of dishonesty, case referred to ASC for hearing
  - Hearing board makes recommendation to Dean
  - Dean decides whether to uphold or deny student’s appeal and informs student.
  - Further appeal to SVPHS

- Charge and penalty uncontested
  - ADIR completed
  - Incident resolved within program
  - Completed ADIR to Chair of ASC and Asst Dean for Academic and Student Affairs

- Charge or penalty contested
  - ADIR completed given to ASC Chair and Asst. Dean for Academic and Student Affairs
  - If either charge or penalty contested, case referred to ASC for hearing
  - ASC hearing board makes recommendation to Dean
  - Dean decides whether to uphold or deny student’s appeal and informs student.
  - Further appeal to SVPHS

Abbreviations
PD: Program Director
ADIR: Academic Dishonesty Incident Report
SVPHS: Sr. VP Health Sciences
TO: All New SHTM Students

FROM: Craig Lehmann, PhD
    Dean

SUBJECT: A Few Rules and Points of Information

The rules governing academic standing have been spelled out for you elsewhere in your School of Health Technology and Management (SHTM) orientation packet. Since we are all adults involved in professional disciplines, we attempt to operate the school with as few other rules as possible. However, we ask that you adhere to these rules in order to provide a comfortable environment for all.

Class Time – Classes meet at the scheduled time and adjourn ten minutes before the time published for adjournment (ex. 8:00 to 9:00 a.m. course adjourns at 8:50). Habitual lateness or leaving a class before it ends is not acceptable.

Attendance – Attendance at all scheduled didactic and clinical learning activities is required of students in the School of Health Technology and Management. Since the teaching programs of the school seek not only to present facts, but also to develop skills and attitudes appropriate to the health professional, mere acquisition of cognitive knowledge may not be sufficient to pass a particular course or to learn the skills required of a professional person.

Advisors – Each student will be assigned a faculty advisor. Any problems that arise should be discussed with that advisor.

Proper Attire and Identification – This is a professional school; the code of dress is casual, but professional. For biological and safety reasons, bare feet are not permitted within the building at any time.

In all clinical assignments and fieldwork, you will be expected to present a neat, clean appearance that will reflect favorably on yourself, your profession, and the school. Each program has specified its own “proper attire and identification” requirements, which you are expected to adhere to.

Cheating - As previously mentioned, the school policy on academic dishonesty is spelled out elsewhere in your orientation packet. I wish to emphasize that the school is responsible for educating health professionals; dishonesty of any kind is unacceptable in any health profession. You must check with your program to verify that a copy of a specific “old exam” is authorized for circulation.

Electronics – The use of cell phones, laptops, tablets and other electronic devices in the classrooms will be dictated by the instructor. Students are expected to adhere to the rules and guidelines set forth for each class.
Use of Office Equipment – We are unable to permit use of SHTM office computers, fax, photocopy machines, etc. by students. Copy machines, computers and printers for student use are available in the Health Sciences Library (HSC) on Level 3.

Telephones – There are public pay telephones near both elevator cores on Level 2. There is also a telephone for on-campus calls located outside the entrance to the Library on Level 3. Please do not ask permission to use any other telephones. We cannot have the limited available phone lines tied up with personal business.

Lockers – A limited but reasonably adequate number of lockers is available to SHTM students. Lockers will be assigned through your program.

Student Mailroom – The SHTM Student Mailroom is located next to the dean’s office. Each student has a folder marked with his/her name in the appropriate program file cabinet drawer. Visit the mailroom often and check your folders. Important personal messages, faculty or administrative staff communication, returned exams or papers, special announcements, etc. will be left there for you. It is your responsibility to pick them up. Students should also use the bulletin board, mail folders and e-mail to communicate with faculty and each other.

E-mail - Each new student will receive a Stony Brook University e-mail address. All official electronic correspondence, including registration, graduation, etc. will be sent to this address. It is your responsibility to check your e-mail on a regular basis. Failure to do so may preclude you from meeting critical deadlines or receiving important information. Students should use their university e-mail to communicate with faculty and each other. You can forward your SB e-mail to your own preferred e-mail address.

Parking – Students living on campus are provided with parking near their residence halls. Commuter students may park in “South P Lot” (off Stony Brook Road) and take the campus bus to the Health Sciences Center/University Hospital. There are a limited number of parking passes available for purchase to access the Health Sciences Center garage. If you hope to park in the HSC garage, you may be able to purchase your monthly parking pass in the HSC ID office between the hours of 8:30am and 3pm. You must show your student ID badge to purchase a monthly parking card. Certain access cards will only work after 3:00pm. When purchasing your card, verify what hours your card will open the gate to the HSC garage.

Vehicle Registration – It is important that you register your vehicle on campus via the website (www.parking.sunysb.edu). The parking hang tag is generated by computer and mailed to you.

Miscellaneous –

- No pets or bicycles are permitted in this building. Bike racks are located under the HSC on level 1.
- No food or beverages may be brought into a classroom.
- SMOKING is not permitted in the Health Sciences Center, or anywhere on the East Campus.

Important! If at any time you change your address (either local or permanent), you can submit the change through the SOLAR System. Please advise your program secretary who will advise the Dean’s Office and the Office of Student Services.
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Americans with Disabilities Act

If you have a physical, psychological, medical or learning disability that may impact your course work, please contact Disability Support Services, 128 ECC Building (631) 632-6748, www.studentaffairs.stonybrook.edu/dss They will determine with you what accommodations are necessary and appropriate. All information and documentation is confidential.

Students who require assistance during emergency evacuation are encouraged to discuss their needs with their professors and Disability Support Services. For procedures and information, go to the following web site:  http://www.stonybrook.edu/ehs/fire/disabilities.shtml and search Fire Safety and Evacuation/Physical Disabilities.
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STUDENT PARTICIPATION ON S.H.T.M. COMMITTEES

The Faculty Assembly of the School of Health Technology and Management (SHTM) has established a number of standing and ad-hoc committees which provide for student participation. These committees, made up of representatives from each SHTM program, are listed below. Please let your program director know if you are interested in serving on a committee.

The **Student Advisory Committee to the Dean** is composed of one student from each class of the SHTM professional programs (one from the first year, one from the second year, and, if relevant, one from the third year classes), representatives from each section in the Health Science program, Dean Craig Lehmann, and Associate and Assistant Deans. Each class should elect its representative as soon as possible after the beginning of classes for the new academic year. The Dean's Advisory Committee meets regularly, usually once a month, according to a schedule chosen by the members. It may meet more often as required by student needs.

The **Academic Standing Committee** meets on an as-needed basis to review issues related to students’ academic standing (e.g. probation, leaves-of-absence, suspension, termination, return to good standing, etc.), and make recommendations to the dean regarding decisions concerning these issues. The committee also reviews and makes recommendations concerning academic policies which govern the programs within the school.

The **Clinical Education Committee** reviews student health and clinical policies and makes recommendations to the school’s Assembly regarding issues such as drug testing, criminal background checks, etc.

The **Diversity Affairs Committee** coordinates programs (i.e., International Food Fest) that celebrate and promote awareness of the ethnic diversity of the faculty, staff and students in the School of Health Technology and Management.

The **Educational Technology Committee** evaluates the technology needs of the faculty and students in meeting their educational objectives and makes recommendations to the Dean regarding future technology acquisition.

The **Research Committee** serves to promote awareness about current policies and procedures governing research in the university and school to faculty, staff, and students, and to support the development of a collaborative environment in which faculty and students can conduct research.